

Systematic Reviews

Influence of religion and spirituality on head and neck cancer patients and their caregivers: a protocol for a scoping review --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	SYSR-D-24-00433
Full Title:	Influence of religion and spirituality on head and neck cancer patients and their caregivers: a protocol for a scoping review
Article Type:	Protocol
Funding Information:	
Abstract:	<p>Introduction</p> <p>Head and neck cancers (HNC) are devastating, thus imposing a negative impact on the appearance of an individual as well as vital activities such as eating, swallowing, speaking, and breathing. Therefore, HNC patients undergo distress while their caregivers become overburdened. Religion and spirituality can be helpful for patients and their caregivers from diverse cultural backgrounds to cope with cancer. Though well established in palliative care, religion and spirituality are rarely incorporated into usual early oncological care. Despite the availability of heterogeneous literature examining the influence of religion and spirituality on cancer patients, there is notably limited research on this topic across the HNC trajectory. Therefore, this scoping review will map the evidence on the influence of religion and spirituality on HNC patients and their caregivers.</p> <p>Methods</p> <p>This scoping review was formulated following the guidelines of Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) manual for evidence synthesis: Scoping Reviews and will be reported confirming to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR checklist). A comprehensive search strategy will include Embase, CINAHL, SCOPUS, and APA PsycINFO, The OPENGREU.EU and Google Scholar will be used as gray literature sources complimented by manual searches. Our eligibility criteria follow the Population, Concept and Context (PCC) framework. Patients aged ≥ 18 years diagnosed with HNC, and their informal, non-paid caregivers aged > 18 years will be included. The data will be extracted using piloted data extraction form on socio-demographic, disease related, treatment related factors and outcomes and the data will be analyzed through descriptive statistics and thematic analysis. The results will be narratively synthesized.</p> <p>Conclusions/Discussion</p> <p>This review will aim to explore existing literature and summarize the findings of studies that examine the influence of religion and spirituality among HNC patients and their caregivers and vice versa over a range of physical, psychological and social outcomes including quality-of-life. We also aim to identify existing research gaps. The findings of this review would generate evidence to better inform health care providers in countries and cultures in the management of patients diagnosed with HNC in usual oncological care with due consideration to caregivers.</p>
Corresponding Author:	Kehinde Kanmodi, BDS, MPH University of Rwanda College of Medicine and Health Sciences RWANDA
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	kkanmodi@puthisastra.edu.kh
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	University of Rwanda College of Medicine and Health Sciences
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	

First Author:	Maheeka Seneviwickrama
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Maheeka Seneviwickrama Ruwan Jayasinghe Kehinde Kanmodi, BDS, MPH Simon N. Rogers Su Keill Sakuntha Ratnapreya Sriyani Ranasinghe Sashini Shehana Denagamagei Irosha Perera
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Opposed Reviewers:	
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
Covering letter concerning your manuscript	<p>Dear Editor,</p> <p>COVER LETTER</p> <p>We intend to publish a manuscript entitled "Influence of religion and spirituality on head and neck cancer patients and their caregivers: a protocol for a scoping review" in your journal as a protocol.</p> <p>Head and neck cancers (HNC) are devastating, thus imposing a negative impact on the appearance of an individual as well as vital activities such as eating, swallowing, speaking, and breathing. Therefore, HNC patients undergo distress while their caregivers become overburdened. Religion and spirituality can be helpful for patients and their caregivers from diverse cultural backgrounds to cope with cancer. Though well established in palliative care, religion and spirituality are rarely incorporated into usual early oncological care. Despite the availability of heterogeneous literature examining the influence of religion and spirituality on cancer patients, there is notably limited research on this topic across the HNC trajectory. Therefore, this scoping review protocol will provide information on how we will map the evidence on the influence of religion and spirituality on HNC patients and their caregivers.</p> <p>This paper, when published, will add to the body of knowledge on religiosity and head and neck cancer as regards public health research, scholarship, policy, and practice.</p> <p>On behalf of all the contributors, Dr Kehinde Kanmodi will act as guarantor and will correspond with the journal from this point onward.</p> <p>This paper is not under consideration for peer-review or publication by any journal.</p>

We did not get any financial support for this study.

We have no competing interests to declare.

We did not use any pre-published information material in this study.

We hereby transfer, assign, or otherwise convey all copyright ownership, including any and all rights incidental thereto, exclusively to the journal, in the event that such work is published by the journal.

Thanking you.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Kehinde Kazeem Kanmodi

Influence of religion and spirituality on head and neck cancer patients and their caregivers: a protocol for a scoping review

Maheeka Seneviwickrama^{1,2}, Ruwan Jayasinghe³, Kehinde Kazeem Kanmodi^{4,5}, Simon N. Rogers⁶, Su Keill⁷, Sakuntha Ratnapreya⁸, Sriyani Ranasinghe², Sashini Shehana Denagamage¹, Irosha Perera⁹

¹Centre for Cancer Research, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka

²Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka

³Department of Oral Medicine and Periodontology, Faculty of Dental Sciences, University of Peradeniya, Peradeniya, Sri Lanka

⁴School of Dentistry, University of Rwanda, Kigali, Rwanda

⁵Faculty of Dentistry, University of Puthisastra, Phnom Penh, Cambodia

⁶Oral and Maxillofacial Department, Wirral University Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK

⁷Library & Knowledge Service, Wirral University Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK

⁸Division of Paedodontics, Department of Community Dental Health, Faculty of Dental Sciences, University of Peradeniya, Peradeniya, Sri Lanka

⁹Preventive Oral Health Unit, National Dental Hospital (Teaching) Sri Lanka, Ward Place, Colombo 7, Sri Lanka

Corresponding author:

Name: Kehinde Kazeem Kanmodi

Postal address: School of Dentistry, University of Rwanda, Kigali, Rwanda

e-mail of the corresponding author: kanmodikehinde@yahoo.com

Contact information of co-authors:

Maheeka Seneviwickrama: maheeka@sjp.ac.lk

Ruwan Jayasinghe: ruwanja@dental.pdn.ac.lk

Simon N Rogers: snrogers62@icloud.com

Su Keill: su.keill@nhs.net

Sakuntha Ratnapreya: sakuntharatnapreya@dental.pdn.ac.lk

Sriyani Ranasinghe: sriyaniranasinghe@sjp.ac.lk

Sashini Shehana Denagamgei: sshehanad@gmail.com

Irosha Perera: irosha_rukmali@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Introduction:

Head and neck cancers (HNC) are devastating, thus imposing a negative impact on the appearance of an individual as well as vital activities such as eating, swallowing, speaking, and breathing. Therefore, HNC patients undergo distress while their caregivers become overburdened. Religion and spirituality can be helpful for patients and their caregivers from diverse cultural backgrounds to cope with cancer. Though well established in palliative care, religion and spirituality are rarely incorporated into usual early oncological care. Despite the availability of heterogeneous literature examining the influence of religion and spirituality on cancer patients, there is notably limited research on this topic across the HNC trajectory. Therefore, this scoping review will map the evidence on the influence of religion and spirituality on HNC patients and their caregivers.

Methods:

This scoping review was formulated following the guidelines of Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) manual for evidence synthesis: Scoping Reviews and will be reported confirming to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR checklist). A comprehensive search strategy will include Embase, CINAHL, SCOPUS, and APA PsycINFO, The OPENGREU.EU and Google Scholar will be used as gray literature sources complimented by manual searches. Our eligibility criteria follow the Population, Concept and Context (PCC) framework. Patients aged ≥ 18 years diagnosed with HNC, and their informal, non-paid caregivers aged > 18 years will be included. The data will be extracted using piloted data extraction form on socio-demographic, disease related, treatment related factors and outcomes and the data will be analyzed through descriptive statistics and thematic analysis. The results will be narratively synthesized.

Conclusions/Discussion:

This review will aim to explore existing literature and summarize the findings of studies that examine the influence of religion and spirituality among HNC patients and their caregivers and vice versa over a range of physical, psychological and social outcomes including quality-of-life. We also aim to identify existing research gaps. The findings of this review would generate evidence to better inform health care providers in countries and cultures in the management of patients diagnosed with HNC in usual oncological care with due consideration to caregivers.

Keywords:

Head & Neck cancers, caregivers of HNC patients, Religion, Religiosity, Spirituality, Spiritual well-being

INTRODUCTION

Head and neck cancers (HNC) denotes a global public health challenge, ranking as the seventh most common cancer worldwide accounting for over 660,000 new cases and 325,000 fatalities annually [1]. Increasing incidence of HNC is attributed to rising prevalence of human papillomavirus infection and the consumption of smoked and smokeless tobacco, alcohol, and areca nut [2]. These cancers contribute to psychological distress and impaired quality of life as they involve organ-systems fundamental to appearance and vital functions of daily living such as eating, swallowing, speaking, breathing and appearance [3,4]. Therefore, in addition to disfigurement and disruption of daily activities, the diagnosis, treatment, and sequelae of HNC are sources of distress, stigma, and anxieties [3,4,5,]. Therefore, not only the sociability of HNC patients but their caregivers as well could get affected [6,7,8]. Improvements in treatment modalities and outcomes have resulted in increasing survivorship of HNC patients, and this has created unique physical, functional, and psychosocial needs for HNC survivors when compared to survivors of other cancers [9,10,11]. The physical and functional needs of HNC patients include pain management, ensuring nutrition due to dysphagia, wound care, oral care, speech and communication while psychosocial needs comprise management of psychological distress, fear of recurrence, uncertainties, information needs, addressing body image and self-esteem concerns, emotional support and empowerment [9,10,11]. Thus, the tasks of caregiving in these patients can be challenging and demanding for the caregivers, adding to a high caregiver burden. The effects of HNC are not limited to the victim alone, but its effects can affect the lives of caregivers' physically, psychosocially, and financially [10-13]. Therefore, studies have highlighted the critical need for HNC-specific supportive care interventions for survivors as well as their caregivers [14, 15,16].

Religion and spiritual beliefs can be very important to patients and their caregivers, regardless of their cultural backgrounds, religious traditions, and faiths [17]. Religion denotes a multidimensional, composite social construct that embraces a set of spiritual beliefs and practices manifesting at an individual level but also encompasses the institutional level through congregations which share specific beliefs, value systems, traditions, and socio-cultural contexts [17]. Spiritual care is well established in the palliative care of late/advanced stage cancer patients; however, it is rarely incorporated into usual oncological care at the time of diagnosis and treatment stages with curative intent [18-21]. There is voluminous research on the influence of religion and spirituality (R/S) in cancer patients, cancer care, and cancer

1 service utilization [22-28], consequent to surge of interest in the sociocultural contributors of
2 health and disease combined with the awareness on the importance of R/S to patients [29].
3 Thus, a large volume of heterogeneous literature examining the relationship between R/S and
4 patient reported outcomes measures (PROM) emerged in cancer research. Three meta-analyses
5 were conducted to identify the associations between R/S and PROM pertaining to physical,
6 mental, and social domains [18, 23, 24, 29]. Those meta-analyses further explored and
7 compared the strength of associations of those outcomes with dimensions of R/S broadly
8 categorized as 'cognitive', 'affective', 'behavioural' and 'other'. The cognitive dimension
9 comprised specific R/S beliefs and perceptions, beliefs of fatalism, spiritual growth, causal
10 attributions, attitudes of *God is responsible for one's health*, a perceived importance of
11 spirituality, images of God, etc. The behavioural dimension consists of religious practices,
12 private/public, meditation, mindfulness, prayers, whilst affective dimension encompasses
13 spiritual wellbeing, spiritual distress, spiritual coping, and spiritual uncertainties. R/S attributes
14 that could not be categorized into other three categories were included as 'other' which mostly
15 comprised composite indicators of R/S [18, 23,24,29]. Despite the need for further research,
16 the results generated from 1341 effects drawn from 44,000 cancer patients confirmed R/S was
17 significantly but modestly associated with physical, mental, and social health outcomes of
18 cancer patients. However, some dimensions of R/S were linked with more favorable outcomes
19 whilst others with poorer outcomes [29]. For example, affective dimension demonstrated the
20 largest effect size among all R/S dimensions but still modest with all health domains. In
21 contrast, behavior dimension showed a small association only with social health domain but
22 not with any other PROM domains [29]. Hence, the association between R/S and outcomes of
23 cancer patients becomes complex and variable with many unresolved issues.

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43 However, more favorable outcomes are reported by recent work on R/S and health outcomes
44 of cancer patients across cancer trajectory. Thus, a recent systematic review on prostate cancer
45 and spirituality revealed a remarkably positive relationship spanning to multiple positive
46 outcomes such as reduced stress and uncertainty, less regret in the choice of treatment,
47 functional and psychosocial well-being, empowerment of active patient participation in the
48 treatment and general coping with the disease [30]. Supporting this notion, a recent empirical
49 study conducted among a group of thyroid cancer patients found over 90% of patients
50 perceiving that religion was crucial in their lives, with a need for praying/meditation. The
51 patients also believed that religion offered a strong support in coping with the condition [31].
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 In addition, the participants in the study were interested in recovering their inner spiritual
2 health and to strengthen the relationship with their families [31]. Therefore, cancer patients
3 may perceive a need for spiritual health to enhance their coping skills with family support.
4 Other studies have also explored the influence of religiosity and spirituality on caregivers of
5 patients with advanced cancers [31-32]. However, clear understanding is still lacking on how
6 patients seek to have religion and spirituality integrated into their patient-centred cancer
7 experiences; this is confounded by marked variation in methodological approaches to studying
8 those complex constructs [29,33,34].

16 Pertinently, there is limited research on this topic across the HNC trajectory. The limited
17 evidence suggested positive outcomes such as better quality of life, post-traumatic growth and
18 less psychological distress among head and neck cancer associated with religion, religiosity,
19 and spirituality [35-40]. However, a recent qualitative exploration assessed how certain
20 religious beliefs, spiritual practices and fatalism may act as barriers for timely diagnosis of oral
21 cancers [41]. Our preliminary literature search using PubMed and Cochrane databases did not
22 yield any scoping review, systematic review or even a narrative review on influence of R/S on
23 HNC cancer trajectory. Against this backdrop, it is not clear how R/S influence HNC patients
24 and their carers across the HNC trajectory given the complexities and reciprocity involved.
25 Hence, it is timely to assess the size and scope of the available literature thus mapping key
26 concepts, types of evidence and gaps in research in this regard, through a scoping review [42].
27 The findings of this scoping review would generate evidence to better inform clinicians in
28 countries and cultures in the management of patients diagnosed with HNC in routine clinical
29 care with consideration to caregivers.

43 The primary aim of this paper is to document the protocol of a proposed scoping review which
44 seeks to identify the dimensions in R/S used in HNC research, map the influence of R/S on
45 HNC patients and their caregivers and the research gaps existing in this domain.

51 METHODS

53 Title and Protocol Registration

56 The title and protocol of this proposed scoping review has been registered in the Open Science
57 Framework registry [<https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/6F4EU>].

Methodological framework

This scoping review protocol was developed based on the Joanna-Briggs Institute (JBI) manual for evidence synthesis [43], which provides comprehensive guidance for developing scoping reviews, and it was underpinned by Arksey and O'Malley's [44] methodological framework for conducting a scoping review. Additionally, the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses–Protocol (PRISMA-P) checklist was used for the reportage of this protocol [45].

Stage 1 – Identifying the review question

The primary research question for the proposed review is “What is the influence of religion or spirituality on HNC patients and their caregivers?” Further, the primary research question was further divided into these specific sub-questions, based on the specific objectives of the proposed review:

1. What are the dimensions of religion and spirituality used in HNC research?
2. What is the influence of religion or spirituality on HNC patients and their caregivers on a range of physical, psychological, and social health outcomes including quality-of-life, health seeking behaviors, treatment outcomes, treatment compliance and survival?
3. What is the influence of cancer diagnosis and cancer trajectory experiences on religion and spirituality of HNC patients and their caregivers?
4. What are the existing research gaps in the area of HNC and religion or spirituality?

Stage 2 – Identifying the relevant studies (search strategy)

A comprehensive search will be conducted without a time restriction to identify relevant literature in the following electronic research databases: Embase, CINAHL, SCOPUS, and APA PsycINFO. Reference lists of included articles will also be examined to identify any additional literature. The OPENGREU.EU and Google Scholar (databases of grey literature) will be manually searched to complement the search strategy. Corresponding authors of the selected literature will be contacted if further information is required. The search strategy will be re-run 4 weeks prior to submission of the manuscript for publication to capture the latest literature.

The literature search strategy will be developed through an iterative process by a multidisciplinary team including a librarian and health knowledge services expert, a consultant oral and maxillofacial surgeon, a lecturer in pediatric dentistry, a consultant in community dentistry, and two public health specialists with experience in conducting systematic reviews. The review questions will be disaggregated into key concepts to facilitate comprehensive and robust search strategies. In addition to Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms, appropriate keywords will be identified through commonly used phrases stated in related literature to capture constructs of religion, religiosity, and spirituality among HNC patients. First, the search strategy will be developed for PubMed search and then the same strategy will be applied with relevant modifications to the other databases. Our initial search strategy is as follows:

Head OR Neck OR “Nasal Cavity” OR “Paranasal Sinus*” OR “Skull Base” OR Nasopharynx* OR Salivary OR Craniopharynx* OR Neuroendocrine OR Hypopharynx* OR Larynx* OR Trachea* OR Parapharynx* OR Oral OR Tongue OR Oropharynx* OR Odontogenic OR Extramedullary

AND

Cancer* OR Malignant* OR Tumour OR Tumor OR Lesion OR Neoplas* OR Neuroblastoma OR Meningioma OR Chondrosarcoma

AND

Religio* OR Spirit* OR Faith OR Multifaith OR “Mind-body” OR Meditation OR Mindfulness OR "Spiritual coping" OR "Religious coping" OR Pray OR Pastor* OR Belief OR Believe* OR Heal* OR yoga OR meditat*

Stage 3 – Study selection

Eligibility criteria

This proposed scoping review will follow the population, concept, and context (PCC) framework to define eligibility criteria, as recommended by the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI), to facilitate a more focused literature search [43].

The population will include adults 18-years or older with a histologically confirmed diagnosis of HNC which could be primary, recurrent, or metastatic deriving from paranasal air sinuses, nasal cavity, oral cavity, salivary glands, pharynx, or larynx. Patients with brain, esophageal, thyroid, and parathyroid cancers will be excluded as they are not considered to be typical HNC cancers [46]. Furthermore, formal, and informal adult caregivers (18-years or older) of HNC

patients will be included. The core concept refers to the effect of religion and spirituality on HNC patients and their caregivers. The sub concepts will include the relationships of cognitive, affective, behavioral, and other dimensions of religion and spirituality (as described in the introduction section of this paper) on self-reported and objectively assessed physical, mental, and social health outcomes and related constructs including quality-of-life. The context for this proposed review will include all countries and study settings such as primary care, secondary care, tertiary care, hospices, home based care, community settings (Table 1).

Table 1 PCC framework for developing the review’s eligibility criteria

Criteria	Characteristics
Population (P)	Adults with a histologically confirmed diagnosis of HNC which could be primary, recurrent or metastatic deriving from paranasal air sinuses, nasal cavity, oral cavity, salivary glands, pharynx or larynx
Concept (C)	The effect of religion and spirituality on HNC patients and their caregivers
Context (C)	All countries

In addition to the use of the PCC framework, study design, language of publication, and publication type will also inform the review’s eligibility criteria. Only those qualitative studies (ethnographic studies, phenomenological studies, etc.), quantitative studies (clinical trials (randomized and non-randomized), cross-sectional studies, case-control studies, and cohort/longitudinal studies), mixed-methods studies published in English and as a peer-reviewed journal article or thesis or book chapter or full-length conference paper will be included in the proposed review.

Defining Religion, Religiosity and Spirituality

Below are the definitions of religion, religiosity, and spirituality in this proposed scoping review.

Religion is a multidimensional construct that includes beliefs, behaviors, rituals, and ceremonies that may be held or practiced in private or public settings but are in some way

1 derived from established traditions that developed over time within a community. Religion is
2 also an organized system of beliefs, practices, and symbols designed to facilitate closeness to
3 the transcendent, and to foster an understanding of one's relationship and responsibility to
4 others in living together in a [47,48]
5
6

7
8 Spirituality is defined as set of all emotions and convictions of a nonmaterial nature with the
9 assumption that there is more to living than can be perceived or fully understood, referring to
10 questions such as the meaning of life, not limited to any type-specific religious belief or
11 practice. Spirituality includes both a search for the transcendent and the discovery of the
12 transcendent and so involves traveling along the path that leads from non-consideration to
13 questioning to either staunch non-belief or belief, and if belief, then ultimately to devotion and
14 finally, surrender. Thus, our definition of spirituality is very similar to religion and there is
15 clear overlap [47,48].
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 *Literature Selection Process*

24

25
26 Identified records will be uploaded into the Rayyan web tool for record management [49] and
27 the duplicates will be removed. Titles and abstracts will be screened based on the inclusion
28 and exclusion criteria by two independent reviewers. Disagreements in literature selection de-
29 cisions will be resolved by a third reviewer. The same procedure will be carried out with the
30 full-text level screening. The record review and selection process will be illustrated using a
31 PRISMA flow diagram [50].
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **Stage 4 – Charting the Data**

39

40
41 Data will be extracted and entered into a bespoke data extraction sheet developed by the review
42 team. Data items of interest were structured based on the review's eligibility criteria and the
43 objectives of the study. From each selected literature, the following information will be
44 extracted: author names, year of publication, title of literature, study location, objectives of the
45 study, study design, study setting, study period, study population, sample size and sampling
46 technique, data collection method including measurement of religion/ religiosity or spirituality,
47 reported effect of religion/ religiosity or spirituality on construct being measured, and
48 limitations. To ensure quality of the data extraction process, data from the first five documents
49 will be extracted as a training stage by all reviewers independently and the results will be
50 compared. The data of the remaining selected literature will be extracted by two independent
51 reviewers. These two sheets will be compared, and any inconsistencies will be discussed and
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

adjudicated by a third reviewer if required. In case further information or clarification is needed concerning the extracted data, the corresponding authors will be contacted.

Table 2 Study characteristics

Heading	Data extraction
Study details	Author, Year, Country
Study design	Qualitative, case study, observational, cross-sectional, case control, etc
Participant characteristics	Study population, sample size

Table 3 Measurements used, reported effect on HNC and limitations of studies

Heading	Data extraction
Study details	Author, Year, Country
Measurements	Data collection method including measurement of religion/ religiosity or spirituality
Findings	Reported effect of religion/ religiosity or spirituality on construct being measured
	Reported influence of cancer diagnosis and cancer trajectory experiences on religion and spirituality
Limitations	Reported limitations in studies

Stage 5 – Collating, Summarizing, and Reporting of Results

The data charted in this scoping review will be collated and summarized in themes, and presented as texts, charts, and tables, using a narrative synthesis approach. Findings of each included study will be presented with key characteristics such as first author, year of publication, geographical location, study design, sample size and sampling method, etc. (online

supplemental files 1 & 2). Results will be reported according to the review questions. To answer the first question (What are the dimensions of religion and spirituality used in HNC research?) results will be presented as a narrative review with regard to affective, behavioural, cognitive, and other such as multidimensional psychometric scales. Further details will be provided under 'Measurements' of the Table 3.

Answers to the second (What is the influence of religion or spirituality on HNC patients and their caregivers on a range of physical, psychological and social health outcomes including quality-of-life, health seeking behaviors, treatment outcomes, treatment compliance and survival?) and the third (What is the influence of cancer diagnosis and cancer trajectory experiences on religion and spirituality of HNC patients and their caregivers?) questions will be described as a narration. Additionally, this information will be presented in Table 3 under 'Findings'.

Identification of the existing research gaps and limitations in R/S relation to HNC to answer the fourth question (What are the existing research gaps in the area of HNC and religion or spirituality?) Given the limited yet evolving research arena on the influence of R/S on HNC trajectory, it is important to make a note of existing limitations and research gaps to influence future research work with better translational value. This will encompass mining into study designs, the complex reciprocal relationship between R/S and HNC trajectory, the internal and external validity of study findings, and controlling for confounding factors such as socioeconomic status, disease-related factors, and treatment-related factors. For example, cross-sectional study designs reflect a snapshot of a single time point instead of a sequence of events (whether R/S variables or changes in R/S variables predict health outcomes across the cancer trajectory), thus necessitating longitudinal follow-up studies for more conclusive evidence. By taking stock of existing research, gaps in the perceived influence of patients and caregivers on integrating R/S into usual HNC care will be identified.

The potential limitations of evidence synthesis method of this scoping review will also be discussed.

Expected Outcomes

The effect of religion, religiosity, or spirituality on the following domains of the patients diagnosed with HNC and their caregivers will be described in the proposed scoping review:

1. Quality of life
2. Survival
3. Physical well-being
4. Psychological well-being
5. Social well-being
6. Posttraumatic growth
7. Adjustment
8. Appearance concerns
9. Cancer diagnosis
10. Fatalism
11. Treatment compliance
12. Demoralization
13. Depression
14. Anxiety
15. Suicidal ideation
16. Sleep disorders
17. Fatigue
18. Timely access of health care services
19. Any other outcome not mentioned before.

DISCUSSION

The primary research question and aim of this scoping review are to explore and expound the multi-faceted influence of religion and spirituality among HNC patients and their caregivers, across an array of outcomes ranging from quality-of-life to health care seeking behavior. Further, we shall be dissecting this influence along cancer trajectory from diagnosis to palliative care with the special emphasis to usual HNC oncological care. Reciprocally, we aim to explore the influence of cancer diagnosis and cancer trajectory on religion and spirituality of HNC patients and their caregivers. We shall be attempting to identify and compare the effects of dimensions of religion and spirituality i.e. Cognitive, affective, behavioural, and other on domains of health and other outcomes in HNC trajectory. Given the aero digestive anatomic involvement of the tumor as well as its treatment affecting the appearance and vital activities of daily living such as eating, speaking, breathing, and swallowing, inevitably HNC

1 patients need to cope up with the devastation, discomfort, and distress whilst their carers need
2 to grapple with challenging task of care giving. Hence, there is an emerging need to explore
3 the potential of religion, religiosity and spirituality could offer to relieve the suffering of HNC
4 patients and their overburdened care givers. Due to the meticulously crafted eligibility criteria
5 and the wide range of terms proposed for the search strategy this scoping review would
6 generate a wealth of evidence unravelling not only the complexities in conceptualizing,
7 defining, and measuring the composite constructs of religion, religiosity, and spirituality but
8 their complex influence on HNC patients and their caregivers highly confounded by countries,
9 cultures, and health care systems. We shall identify existing knowledge and research gaps and
10 challenges in the milieu of influence of religion, religiosity, and spirituality on HNC patients
11 and their caregivers. Our findings will shed light into better approaches in integrating religious
12 and spiritual care to patient-centered cancer care experience of HNC patients and their
13 caregivers.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

28
29 HNC – Head and Neck Cancers

30
31 DSES - Daily Spiritual Experience Scale

32
33 PRISMA-ScR - Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses
34 extension for Scoping Reviews

35
36 PRISMA-P - Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses–Protocol

37
38 MeSH terms - Medical Subject Headings terms
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **DECLARATIONS**

48 **Ethics and dissemination**

49
50 This scoping review is not subjected to research ethics board approval as there will be no direct
51 participant contact or data collection at an individual level. Dissemination of the findings will
52 include the publication of a scoping review manuscript in an open-access journal to reduce
53 barriers and provide ease of access to a wider stakeholder audience. Knowledge translation
54 will further include presentations at national and international conferences with clinical
55 audiences.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Patient and public involvement

Patients, care givers and the public were involved in the designing of this scoping review protocol as patient and caregiver experience in religion, religiosity and spirituality in cancer trajectory is fundamental to exploring and expounding their relationships.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

Competing interests

None declared.

Funding

This collaborative research project is not funded by any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors except for a funding received from the Centre for Cancer Research of the University of Sri Jayewardenepura for remuneration of the research assistant.

Authors' contributions

Review question was identified by IP and SNR. Search strategy was drafted by IP & MS and was modified by SNR, SK, KKK, SaR, RJ & SrR. The study was designed by MS, IP & SSD and modified by KKK and RJ. Manuscript was drafted by SSD, MS & IP. All authors substantially contributed to the revision of the manuscript and approved the final version.

Acknowledgements

Centre for Cancer Research, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka

Author's information (optional)

ORCID iDs

Maheeka Seneviwickrama <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4182-284X>

Simon N. Rogers <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5989-6142>

Ruwan Jayasinghe <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8054-4301>

Kehinde Kazeem Kanmodi <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9906-3826>

Su Keill <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3168-8082>

Sakuntha Ratnapreya <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1695-7918>

Sriyani Ranasinghe <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3791-2674>

Sashini Shehana Denagamagei <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-0781-0025>

Irosha Perera <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8250-0169>

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2021 May;71(3):209-249. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660.
2. Gormley M, Creaney G, Schache A, Ingarfield K, Conway DI. Reviewing the epidemiology of head and neck cancer: definitions, trends and risk factors. *Br Dent J*. 2022 Nov;233(9):780-786. doi: 10.1038/s41415-022-5166-x.
3. Richardson AE, Broadbent E, Morton RP. A systematic review of psychological interventions for patients with head and neck cancer. *Support. Care Cancer*. 2019 Jun;27(6):2007-2021. doi: 10.1007/s00520-019-04768-3.
4. Semple CJ, Sullivan K, Dunwoody L, Kernohan WG. Psychosocial interventions for patients with head and neck cancer: past, present, and future. *Cancer Nurs*. 2004 Nov-Dec;27(6):434-441. doi: 10.1097/00002820-200411000-00002.
5. Threader J, McCormack L. Cancer-related trauma, stigma and growth: the 'lived' experience of head and neck cancer. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)*. 2016 Jan;25(1):157-169. doi: 10.1111/ecc.12320.
6. Liao LJ, Hsu WL, Lo WC, Cheng PW, Shueng PW, Hsieh CH. Health-related quality of life and utility in head and neck cancer survivors. *BMC Cancer*. 2019 May 7;19(1):425. doi: 10.1186/s12885-019-5614-4.
7. Babin E, Sigston E, Hitier M, Dehesdin D, Marie JP, Choussy O. Quality of life in head and neck cancers patients: predictive factors, functional and psychosocial outcome. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2008 Mar;265(3):265-270. doi: 10.1007/s00405-007-0561-0.
8. Grandazzi G, Roussel LM., Cuny F, Morlais F, Launay L, Babin E. Impact of head and neck cancer on partner's sociability. *Eur. Ann. Otorhinolaryngol*. 2019 Jun;136(3):165-168.

- 1 9. Margalit DN, Salz T, Venchiarutti R, Milley K, McNamara M, Chima S, et al.
2 Interventions for head and neck cancer survivors: Systematic review. *Head Neck*. 2022
3 Nov;44(11):2579-2599. doi: 10.1002/hed.27142.
4
- 5 10. Karampela M, Porat T, Mylonopoulou V, Isomursu M. Rehabilitation needs of head
6 and neck cancer patients and stakeholders: Case study. *Front Oncol*. 2021 Sep 24;
7 11:670-790. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.670790.
8
- 9 11. Tsatsou I, Konstantinidis T, Kalemikerakis I, Adamakidou T, Vlachou E, Govina O.
10 Unmet supportive care needs of patients with hematological malignancies: A
11 systematic review. *Asia Pac J Oncol Nurs*. 2020 Oct 15;8(1):5-17. doi:
12 10.4103/apjon.apjon_41_20.
13
- 14 12. Kudrick LD, Baddour K, Wu R, Fadel M, Snyder V, Neopaney A, et al. Longitudinal
15 analysis of caregiver burden in head and neck cancer. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck*
16 *Surg*. 2023 Aug 1;149(8):681-689. doi: 10.1001/jamaoto.2023.1283.
17
- 18 13. van Hof KS, Hoesseini A, Dorr MC, Verdonck-de Leeuw IM, Jansen F, Leemans CR,
19 et al. Unmet supportive care needs among informal caregivers of patients with head
20 and neck cancer in the first 2 years after diagnosis and treatment: a prospective cohort
21 study. *Support Care Cancer*. 2023 Apr 13;31(5):262. doi: 10.1007/s00520-023-07670-
22 1.
23
- 24 14. Van Hof KS, Hoesseini A, Dorr MC, Verdonck-de Leeuw IM, Jansen F, Leemans CR,
25 et al. Caregiver burden, psychological distress and quality of life among informal
26 caregivers of patients with head and neck cancer: A longitudinal study. *Int. J. Environ.*
27 *Res. Public Health* 2022;19, 16304. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19231630>
28
- 29 15. Aung SHH, White K, Bloomfield J. The experiences and the needs of caregivers of
30 patients with head and neck cancer – An integrative review. *Cancer Nurs*. 2021 Nov-
31 Dec;44(6): E361-E373.
32
- 33 16. Oswald LB, Arredondo B, Geiss C, Vigoureux TFD, Hoogland AI, Chung CH, et al.
34 Considerations for developing supportive care interventions for survivors of head and
35 neck cancer: A qualitative study. *Psycho-oncol*. 2022 Sep;31(9):1519-1526. doi:
36 10.1002/pon.5985.
37
- 38 17. Silbermann M, Berger A. Global perspectives in cancer care: religion, spirituality, and
39 cultural diversity in health and healing (2022; online edn, Oxford Academic, 1 Mar.
40 2022), <https://doi.org/10.1093/med/9780197551349.001.0001>, accessed 3 Feb. 2024.
41
- 42 18. Jim HSL, Pustejovsky JE, Park CL, Danhauer SC, Sherman AC, Fitchett G, et al.
43 Religion, spirituality, and physical health in cancer patients: A meta-analysis. *Cancer*
44 [Internet]. 2015 Aug 10;121(21):3760–8. Available from:
45 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4618080/>
46

19. Delgado-Guay MO. Spirituality and religiosity in supportive and palliative care. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care*. 2014 Sep;8(3):308-13. doi: 10.1097/SPC.0000000000000079.
20. Lee YH. Spiritual care for cancer patients. *Asia Pac J Oncol Nurs*. 2019 Apr-Jun;6(2):101-103. doi: 10.4103/apjon.apjon_65_18.
21. Richardson P. Spirituality, religion and palliative care. *Ann Palliat Med* [Internet]. 2014 Jul 1;3(3):150–9. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25841692/>
22. Ferrell B, Otis-Green S, Economou D. Spirituality in cancer care at the end of life. *Cancer J*. 2013 Sep;19(5):431–7.
23. Salsman JM, Pustejovsky JE, Jim HSL, Munoz AR, Merluzzi TV, George L, et al. A meta-analytic approach to examining the correlation between religion/spirituality and mental health in cancer. *Cancer*. 2015 Aug 10;121(21):3769–78.
24. Sherman AC, Merluzzi TV, Pustejovsky JE, Park CL, George L, Fitchett G, et al. A meta-analytic review of religious or spiritual involvement and social health among cancer patients. *Cancer*. 2015 Aug 10;121(21):3779–88.
25. Schilbrack K. The social construction of “Religion” and its limits: A critical reading of timothy fitzgerald. *MTSR*. 2012;24(2):97–117.
26. Almaraz D, Saiz J, Moreno Martín F, Sánchez-Iglesias I, Molina AJ, Goldsby TL. What aspects of religion and spirituality affect the physical health of cancer patients? A Systematic Review. *Healthcare* [Internet]. 2022 Aug 1;10(8):1447. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9032/10/8/1447>
27. Canon AJ, Dokucu ME, Loberiza FR. Interplay between spirituality and religiosity on the physical and mental well-being of cancer survivors. *Support Care Cancer*. 2022 Feb;30(2):1407-1417.
28. Kretzler B, König HH, Brandt L, Weiss HR, Hajek A. Religious denomination, religiosity, religious attendance, and cancer prevention. A systematic review. *Risk Manag and Healthc Policy*. 2022 Jan; 15:45–58.
29. Park CL, Sherman AC, Jim HS, Salsman JM. Religion/spirituality and health in the context of cancer: Cross-domain integration, unresolved issues, and future directions. *Cancer*. 2015 Nov 1;121(21):3789-94. doi: 10.1002/cncr.29351.
30. Neves NM, Queiroz LA, Cuck G, Dzik C, Pereira FMT. Prostate cancer and spirituality: A systematic review. *J Relig Health*. 2023 Jun 14. doi: 10.1007/s10943-023-01845-0. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 37314.
31. Olariu E, Piciu D. Religion, beliefs and needs in thyroid cancer patients. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2023;50 Suppl S827. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-023-06333-x>.

32. Wikert J, Treutlein M, Theochari M, Bokemeyer C, Oechsle K, Ullrich A. How does spirituality manifest in family caregivers of terminally ill cancer patients? A qualitative secondary analysis. *Palliat Support Care*. 2022 Feb;20(1):45-54. doi: 10.1017/S1478951521000353.
33. Paiva BS, Carvalho AL, Lucchetti G, Barroso EM, Paiva CE. "Oh, yeah, I'm getting closer to god": spirituality and religiousness of family caregivers of cancer patients undergoing palliative care. *Support Care Cancer*. 2015 Aug;23(8):2383-9. doi: 10.1007/s00520-015-2604-1.
34. Palmer KE, Paredes AZ, Tsilimigras DI, Hyer JM, Pawlik TM. The role of religion and spirituality in cancer care: An umbrella review of the literature. *Surg Oncol*. 2022 Jun; 42:101389. doi: 10.1016/j.suronc.2020.05.004.
35. Braga L, Cláudio RL, Maria CM. Associations of religiosity and spiritual well-being with appearance concerns after head and neck cancer surgery. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2020 Dec 28;49(5):420–6.
36. Braga L, Cláudio RL, Maria CM. Religiosity, spirituality, and the quality of life of patients with sequelae of head and neck cancer. *Oral Dis*. 2020 Feb 12;26(4):838–42.
37. Chang TG, Huang PC, Hsu CY, Yen TT. Demoralization in oral cancer inpatients and its association with spiritual needs, quality of life, and suicidal ideation: a cross-sectional study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2022 Apr 2;20(1).
38. Chen SC, Yu WP, Chu TL, Hung HC, Tsai MC, Liao CT. Prevalence and correlates of supportive care needs in oral cancer patients with and without anxiety during the diagnostic period. *Cancer Nurs*. 2010 Jul;33(4):280–9.
39. Hamdan NA, Abd Hamid N, Leong Bin Abdullah MFI. A longitudinal investigation of posttraumatic growth and its associated factors among head and neck cancer survivors. *Psycho-oncol*. 2022 Mar;31(3):504-511. doi: 10.1002/pon.5835. Epub 2021 Oct 19. PMID: 34647392
40. Hellyer P. The role of religious beliefs in oral cancer diagnosis and treatment. *Br Dent J*. 2022 Jul 8;233(1):42–2.
41. Khokhar MA, Gibson B, Winslow M, Owens J. 'Oral cancer is a punishment for my sins': Oral histories of oral cancer, fatalism and islamic religious beliefs in Pakistan. *J Relig Health*. 2022 Dec;61(6):4337-4351. doi: 10.1007/s10943-022-01585-7.
42. Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, Tufanaru C, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2018 Nov 19;18(1):143. doi: 10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x.

43. Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, McInerney P, et al. Chapter 11: Scoping reviews (2020 version). In: Aromataris E, Munn Z, eds. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis, JBI. 2020.
44. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Res Methodol*. 2005;8(1):19-32.
45. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann Intern Med*. 2018 Sep 4;169(7):467–73.
46. Ratnasekera N, Fazelzad R, Bagnarol R, Cunha V, Zimmermann C, Lau J. Palliative care interventions for patients with head and neck cancer: protocol for a scoping review. *BMJ Open*. 2023;13: e078980. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-078980.
47. Koenig HG. Religion, spirituality, and health: the research and clinical implications. *ISRN Psychiatry*. 2012 Dec 16; 2012:278730. doi: 10.5402/2012/278730.
48. Koenig HG, King DE, Carson VB. *Handbook of Religion and Health*, Oxford University Press, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 2012.
49. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan—a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Systematic Reviews*. 2016 Dec;5(1).
50. Pollock D, Peters MDJ, Khalil H, McInerney P, Alexander L, Tricco AC, et al. Recommendations for the extraction, analysis, and presentation of results in scoping reviews. *JBI Evidence Synthesis*. 2023;21(3):520-532. doi: 10.11124/JBIES-22-00123

Dear Editor,

COVER LETTER

We intend to publish a manuscript entitled **“Influence of religion and spirituality on head and neck cancer patients and their caregivers: a protocol for a scoping review”** in your journal as a protocol.

Head and neck cancers (HNC) are devastating, thus imposing a negative impact on the appearance of an individual as well as vital activities such as eating, swallowing, speaking, and breathing. Therefore, HNC patients undergo distress while their caregivers become overburdened. Religion and spirituality can be helpful for patients and their caregivers from diverse cultural backgrounds to cope with cancer. Though well established in palliative care, religion and spirituality are rarely incorporated into usual early oncological care. Despite the availability of heterogeneous literature examining the influence of religion and spirituality on cancer patients, there is notably limited research on this topic across the HNC trajectory. Therefore, this scoping review protocol will provide information on how we will map the evidence on the influence of religion and spirituality on HNC patients and their caregivers.

This paper, when published, will add to the body of knowledge on religiosity and head and neck cancer as regards public health research, scholarship, policy, and practice.

On behalf of all the contributors, Dr Kehinde Kanmodi will act as guarantor and will correspond with the journal from this point onward.

This paper is not under consideration for peer-review or publication by any journal.

We did not get any financial support for this study.

We have no competing interests to declare.

We did not use any pre-published information material in this study.

We hereby transfer, assign, or otherwise convey all copyright ownership, including any and all rights incidental thereto, exclusively to the journal, in the event that such work is published by the journal.

Thanking you.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'KemoSe' with a stylized flourish at the end.

Dr Kehinde Kazeem Kanmodi